an environment ( $\mathrm{MeOH}, 95^{\circ}, 8 \mathrm{hr}$ ) which irreversibly transforms 1a to an ester, presumably methyl (4Z)-(7'-cyclohepta- $2^{\prime}, 4^{\prime}, 6^{\prime}$-trienyl)but-3-(and/or 2-)enoate, ${ }^{16}$ in $85 \%$ yield.

The synthetic implications of mechanism $e$ are apparent: 2, but not 1a, should also be accessible by an independent synthesis of 4 , e.g., through the readily available 7 -vinylcycloheptatrienes. ${ }^{12 a .17}$

Mechanistically more instructive is the apparent need of a two-step sequence to break and to form precisely those same bonds as would otherwise be achieved by the one-step mechanism b. ${ }^{18}$ The subsequent choice of eight-electron (e) rather than six-electron (d) cycloaddition recalls the exclusively four-electron intermolecular cycloadditions of ketenes ${ }^{13}$ and the otherwise unexpected thermal stability of barbaralone. ${ }^{19}$ More diverse are the previous reports of intramolecular thermal ketene cycloadditions. Examples of apparent six-electron, ${ }^{12 a, b}$ as well as four- ${ }^{12 a, 20}$ and/or eightelectron ${ }^{12 a, c}$ processes suggest opportunities for closer scrutiny.

Finally, we note the illustration of a more general suggestion. Symmetry-forbidden processes (such as a) ought to be regarded with some skepticism until the full scope of symmetry-allowed processes has been considered, much less excluded by experiment.

Acknowledgment. Financial support was provided by Public Health Service Research Grant No. 10495 from the National Cancer Institute.
(16) $\operatorname{Ir}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 3000,2905,1730,1602,1428,1240,1190,1165$, and $700 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$ but not at $960-970 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1} ; \operatorname{pmr}\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) \tau 3.38(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{tt}), 3.70-4.53$ $(4 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m}), 4.93(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{dd}), 6.38(3 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{s}), 7.05(2 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{d}), 7.66(1 \mathrm{H}, \mathrm{m})$.
(17) J. Daub and P. v. R. Schleyer, Angew. Chem., 80, 446 (1968); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 7, 468 (1968).
(18) Cf., J. E. Baldwin and M. S. Kaplan, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 3969 (1971); 94, 4696 (1972).
(19) T. Mukai and K. Kurabayashi, ibid., 92, 4493 (1970).
(20) P. Yates and A. G. Fallis, Tetrahedron Lett., 2493 (1968); H. Hart and G. M. Love, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 6266 (1971).
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## A $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ Cation of Unusual Structure ${ }^{1}$

Sir:
The modal description of the isomeric $\mathrm{C}_{11} \mathrm{H}_{11}$ longicyclic cations (1a-c) is uniformly (2,2,0). ${ }^{2}$ All should therefore be stabilized. The further adjective, "bicycloaromatic," compares these with their isoconjugate homoantiaromatic pericyclics (2a-d) in a way that disparages potential isomerization, at least into the latter topology. We now report that conventional lowtemperature $\mathrm{FSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}$ extraction of the immediate alcohol precursor of $\mathbf{1 b}^{3}$ in fact provides none of these.

The observed pmr spectrum, ${ }^{4} \tau_{\mathrm{A}} 1.91$ (d, $J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}$,

[^0] coupled Fourier transform accumulation for ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$.
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$4.1), \tau_{\mathrm{B}} 2.41(\mathrm{t}, J=7.0 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.1)$, and $\tau_{\mathrm{C}} 7.31(\mathrm{~s}, 4.8)$ ppm with unresolved fine splitting ( $J_{\mathrm{AC}}$ ), suggests $3 \mathrm{a} \rightleftarrows$ $\mathbf{3 b}$ as a first approximation to the structure of the (presumably more stable) cation. ${ }^{\circ}$ Each such step, the corner alkylation of cyclopropane by an allyl cation, corresponds in stereochemistry both to that of the exodeltacyclyl cation, ${ }^{6}$ where it leads to twofold degeneracy, and of the cyclopropylcarbinyl cation, ${ }^{7}$ where the degeneracy becomes threefold. The fivefold degeneracy of $\mathbf{3}$ is attained by a double circumambulatory

process, one that has previously been anticipated but never heretofore achieved. ${ }^{8,9}$

The barrier to such rotation must be lower than that of any single cationic circumambulation that we know; $8 \mathrm{e}, 10$ nmr spectra remain unchanged between $-20^{\circ}$ and the lowest easily accessible temperatures $\left(-150^{\circ}\right.$ for pmr , $-60^{\circ}$ for cmr ). At this level of approximation, the transition state 3c-two mutually perpendicular 1,3dehydroallyl cationic ligands, above and below the plane of a cyclopentadienyl anion-provides an attractive rationale. Such a geometry, accurately either $C_{2}$ (as shown) or $C_{s}$ but approximately $D_{2 d}$, permits stabilization to follow from mixing one of the vacant cyclopentadienyl $\pi$ orbitals with the $a_{2}$ combination of allyl $\psi_{2}$. It requires both a negligible rotational barrier and an incomplete transfer of negative charge to the ligands.

Can such stabilization suffice to make 3 c , instead, the
(5) The role of $1 \mathbf{a}$ and $1 \mathbf{b}$, as potential intermediates in the formation of 3, will be described separately.
(6) P. K. Freeman, D. M. Balls, and J. N. Blazevich, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 2051 (1970).
(7) K. B. Wilberg and G. Szeimies, ibid., 92, 571 (1970); Z. Majerski and P. v. R. Schleyer, ibid., 93, 665 (1971).
(8) (a) A. Nickon, H. Kwasnik, T. Swartz, R. O. Williams, and J. B. DiGiorgio, ibid., 87, 1613 (1965); (b) P. v. R. Schleyer and R. E. Leone, ibid., 90, 4164 (1968); (c) G. W. Klumpp, Recl. Trav. Chim. Pays-Bas, 87, 1053 (1968); (d) J. J. Gajewski and C. N. Shih, Tetrahedron Lett., 2967 (1970); (e) For a comprehensive review, cf. R. E. Leone and P. v. R. Schleyer, Angew. Chem., 82, 79 (1970); Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl., 9, 860 (1970).
(9) A topologically analogous process, however, provides an alternative interpretation of results observed in more complicated environments: (a) H. Hart and G. M. Love, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 6264 (1971); (b) H. Hart and M. Kuzuya, ibid., 94, 8958 (1972).
(10) (a) P. Vogel, M. Saunders, N. M. Hasty, Jr., and J. A. Berson, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 1551 (1971); J. A. Berson and J. A. Jenkins, ibid., 94, 8907 (1972); W. J. Hehre, ibid., 94, 8908 (1972). (b) For two recently reported exceptions, cf. S. Masamune, M. Sakai, H. Ona, and A. J. Jones, ibid., 94, 8956 (1972), and ref 9 b.
structure of the cation itself? If so, 3 would become the first entirely organic sandwich compound.

In the absence of crystallographic data, cmr chemical shifts now appear to provide the most reliable criterion for achieving so subtle a distinction. ${ }^{11}$ In Table I,

Table I. Estimated and Observed Nmr Chemical Shifts of $\mathbf{3}^{a}$

| $\mathrm{Nu}-$ cleus | Assigned location | Model estimates |  | 5-King 6 -Ring |  | Obsd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{1} \mathrm{H}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $-1.26^{c, 8}$ | $-0.25^{\text {c }}$ | 2.29 | 2.80 | 1.91 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $4.35{ }^{\text {c }}$ | $4.00^{d}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $7.69{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $7.69{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $1.35{ }^{\text {c, }}$ ( | $1.68{ }^{c}$ | 2.53 | 2.69 | 2.41 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $3.71{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $3.71{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $7.30{ }^{\text {d }}$ | $7.30{ }^{\text {d }}$ | 6.98 | 7.17 | 7.31 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $5.77^{c, 8}$ | $6.19{ }^{c}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $8.05^{\text {d }}$ | $8.05{ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{13} \mathrm{C}$ | $\mathrm{A}_{1}$ | $-24{ }^{c}$ | $-41^{\text {c }}$ | 50 | 42 | -4 |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{2}$ | $63^{e}$ | $66^{\text {e }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{A}_{3}$ | $184{ }^{\prime}$ | 184 |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{1}$ | $57^{c}$ | $48^{c}$ | 55 | 62 | 40 |
|  | $\mathrm{B}_{2}$ | $63{ }^{\text {e }}$ | $66^{\circ}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ | $165{ }^{\prime}$ | 165 | 165 | 171 | 105 |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ | $145{ }^{\circ}$ | $160^{c}$ |  |  |  |
|  | $\mathrm{C}_{3}$ | $184{ }^{\prime}$ | 184 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Pmr shifts follow the $\tau$ scale; positive cmr shifts are upfield from $\mathrm{CS}_{2}$. ${ }^{b}$ Internal references: $\tau\left(\mathrm{CDHCl}_{2}\right) 4.70, \delta\left(\mathrm{CH}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}\right)$ 103. ${ }^{c}$ Cyclopentenyl and cyclohexenyl cations relative to external $\mathrm{Me}_{4} \mathrm{Si}$ : G. A. Olah, G. Liang, and Y. K. Mo, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 94, 3544 (1972). ${ }^{d}$ Ketone 4, Table II. ${ }^{e}$ Cyclopentene and cyclohexenes: D. E. Dorman, M. J. Jautelet, and J. D. Roberts, J. Org. Chem., 36, 2757 (1971). Nortricyclane: G. A. Olah and A. M. White, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 91, 3955 (1969). ${ }^{\varepsilon}$ Unimportant differences in the 5 -ring means ( $2.51,2.62,7.02$ ) result from the cyclopentenyl $\tau$ values of M. Saunders and R. Berger, ibid., 94, 4049 (1972).
those of 3 are compared with fluxional averages derived from reasonable models for $\mathbf{3 a} \rightleftarrows \mathbf{3 b}$. The disagreement appears to be significant. (As expected, pmr shifts are less decisive.) Although suitable models for 3c are more difficult to find, we note that $\delta_{\mathrm{A}}$ is appropriate for an extensively delocalized trivalent cation (e.g., benzhydryl, $-5.6 \mathrm{ppm}) ;{ }^{12} \delta_{\mathrm{C}}$ falls between that of the cyclopentadienyl anion ( 90 ppm ) and of ferrocene ( 123 ppm). ${ }^{13}$

Whether 3c be indeed regarded as the structure of 3 or as that of its low-energy transition state, a new generic term seems appropriate. We suggest armilene (from the resemblance to an armillary sphere) and a numerical homologative specification. $\mathbf{3}$ is then called the [3.5.3]armilenium cation. It remains to be seen what significance might be drawn from previous failures to observe the [2.5.2]armilenium cation and related species. ${ }^{8}$

Least ambiguous of all is the gross structure of 3 . Aqueous quenching, followed by $\mathrm{MnO}_{2}$ or $\mathrm{CrO}_{3}{ }^{-}$

[^1]pyridine oxidation, provides a ketone ${ }^{14}$ whose pmr spectrum (Table II) and ozonolytic degradation to $5^{16}$ are consistent only with 4.

4

5

Table II. Pmr Spectrum of Ketone $\mathbf{4}^{a}$

| Assign- <br> ment | $A^{b}$ | $\tau$ | $s^{c}$ | Appearance ${ }^{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ | 1.13 | 2.41 | -9.2 | $\mathrm{dd}(J=9.8,6.6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{B}}$ | 1.01 | 3.71 | -4.8 | $\mathrm{ddd}(J=8.0,7.0,1.5)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{C}}$ | 0.91 | 4.00 | -3.2 | $\mathrm{ddd}(J=8.0,8.0,1.6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{D}}$ | 1.12 | 4.08 | -32.8 | $\mathrm{dd}(J=9.8,1.7)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{E}}$ | 0.95 | 7.30 | -15.3 | $\mathrm{~d}(J=8.0)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}$ | 0.93 | 7.69 | -6.4 | $\mathrm{dtd}(J=7.0,6.0,1.6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{G}}$ | 0.97 | 8.03 | -32.4 | s |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{H}}$ | 1.07 | 8.05 | -12.3 | $\mathrm{t}(J=6.0)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{I}}$ | 0.90 | 8.23 | -8.0 | $\mathrm{~d}(J=6.6)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{J}}$ | 1.05 | 8.51 | -18.7 | $\mathrm{t}(J=6.0)$ |

${ }^{a}$ In $\mathrm{CDCl}_{3}$ at 90 MHz . ${ }^{b}$ Areas normalized to ten protons at optimal $\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod})_{3}\right]$. ${ }^{c}$ Slope of $\tau$ es. molar ratio $\left[\mathrm{Eu}(\mathrm{fod})_{3}\right] /[\mathrm{sub}-$ strate]. ${ }^{d}$ Reported $J(\mathrm{~Hz})$ are first-order splittings as observed at appropriate $\left[\operatorname{Pr}(f o d)_{3}\right]$.
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(14) $m / e$ 158; ir $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right) 5990,4450(\epsilon(4450) / \epsilon(5990)=2.4),{ }^{15} 3048$, 3032, 2950, 2925, 2312 (w?), 1680, 1617, and $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; Raman $\left(\mathrm{CCl}_{4}\right)$ $3064,3048,2950,1680,1617$, and $1598 \mathrm{~cm}^{-1}$; uv max (isooctane) and $\epsilon 248$ (3300), 304 (80), and 326 (117) nm. Anal. Found: C, 83.23 ; H, 6.68 .
(15) Cf. H. Weitkamp and F. Korte, Tetrahedron, 20, 2125 (1964).
(16) $\tau\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{D}_{6}\right) 4.83(\mathrm{t}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 0.95), 5.83(\mathrm{~d}, J=7.3 \mathrm{~Hz}, 2.12)$, 6.38 ( $\mathrm{s}, 2.93$ ), 6.40 ( $\mathrm{s}, 6.09$ ), 6.54 (s, 2.89 ), 7.89 (d, $J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.91$ ), and $8.26(\mathrm{t}, J=8.7 \mathrm{~Hz}, 1.11) \mathrm{ppm}$.
(17) U. S. Public Health Service Fellow, 1967-1970, and Trainee, 1970-1971.

M. J. Goldstein,* Stanley A. Kline ${ }^{17}$<br>Department of Chemistry, Cornell University Ithaca, New York 14850<br>Received August 2, 1972

## Effect of Divalent Metal Ions on the Intramolecular Nucleophilic Catalysis of Phosphate Diester Hydrolysis

 Sir:Recent investigations ${ }^{1,2}$ have demonstrated that remarkable rate enhancements may be obtained in the hydrolysis of phosphate diesters by suitably positioning nucleophilic groups within the reacting molecule. Moreover, these reactions are thought to proceed via metastable pentacovalent intermediates owing to the magnitude of the rate enhancements $\left(10^{4}-10^{6}\right)$, high sensitivity to changes in leaving group $\mathrm{p} K_{\mathrm{a}}(\beta=-1.2)$,
(1) K. J. Schray and S. J. Benkovic, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 2532 (1971).
(2) (a) S. A. Khan, et al., J. Chem. Soc. B, 1182 (1970); (b) D. M. Brown and D. A. Usher, J. Chem. Soc., 6558 (1965).


[^0]:    (1) Taken in part from the Ph.D. Thesis of S. A. Kline, Cornell University, 1972.
    (2) M. J. Goldstein and R. Hoffmann, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 93, 6193 (1971).
    (3) The unambiguous synthesis and structure proof of $s y n$ - (to diene) bicyclo[4.3.2]undeca-2,4,8,10-tetraen-7-ol is described elsewhere: M. J. Goldstein and S. A. Kline, submitted for publication to Tetrahedron Lett.
    (4) $\mathrm{FSO}_{3} \mathrm{H}-\mathrm{CD}_{2} \mathrm{Cl}_{2}$ solutions also containing $\mathrm{SO}_{2}, \mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{ClF}$, and/or $\mathrm{SO}_{2} \mathrm{~F}_{2}$ at 60 and 90 MHz for protons, at 22.62 MHz with proton de-

[^1]:    (11) Cf. G. A. Olah, A. M. White, J. R. DeMember, A. Commeyras, and C. Y. Lui, J. Amer. Chem. Soc., 92, 4627 (1970).
    (12) G. A. Olah, ibid., 94, 808 (1972), and references there cited.
    (13) P. S. Pregosin and E. W. Randall in "Determination of Organic Structures by Physical Methods," Vol. 4, F. C. Nachod and J. J. Zuckerman Ed., Academic Press, New York, N. Y., 1971, p 263.

